

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/116,425 07/15/1998 ROBERT J. PIECHOWIAK M-2760-3P 2543 24251 **EXAMINER** 7590 06/16/2004 SKJERVEN MORRILL LLP CHERUBIN, YVESTE GILBERTE 25 METRO DRIVE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 700** SAN JOSE, CA 95110 3713

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/116,425	PIECHOWIAK ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Yveste G. Cherubin	3713	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
	or Reply			
THE - Extended after - If the If No If No If Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			·	
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 F	February 2004.		
		s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution			osecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	tion of Claims			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-28 is/are pending in the ap	oplication.		
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>24-28</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-16</u> is/are rejected.			
7)🖂	Claim(s) 17-23 is/are objected to.			
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applicat	tion Papers			
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
,	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachme	nt(s)	_		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
3) 🔲 Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in response to the Amendment filed February 27, 2004.

# **Priority**

2. This application repeats a substantial portion of prior Application No. 08/727,805, now Patent No. 6,012,982 filed October 7, 1996, and adds and claims additional disclosure not presented in the prior application. The additional disclosure of "generating a first game result (randomly selected) and a bonus game result (non-randomly selected) displaying on 1 (one) display" is being given the filing date of July 15, 1998 since it was not presented in the parent application cited above. With that said, the prior art to DeMar et al., US Patent No. 6,315,660 is being considered as prior art since it carries a filing date of March 24, 1998.

## Claim Objection

3. Claims 17, 19 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 5, claim 17, line 1, the examiner is suggesting to replace the word "acts" between —comprising the- and —of-- by 'steps'.

Page 6, claim 19, line 1, the word "of" needs to be added between --method—and - claim--.

Page 6, claim 19, line 1, the letter "k" after the comma needs to be deleted.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3713

## Non-Final Rejection

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 6-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Claypole et al. (GB No 2,262,642) in view of DeMar et al. (US Patent No. 6,315,660).

As per claims 1, 4, Claypole discloses a fruit machine operable in a first mode and a second mode, see abstract. The first game, which is a reel type game generates the game results in a random fashion and upon meeting certain predetermined criteria, a second game, which is a skill type game is initiated in the form of a quiz game or video game. However, Claypole uses two (2) displays (3, 15) to generate game results of the first game and the second game, respectively, and fails to disclose using a single display to generate both results. DeMar, on the other hand, teaches a gaming machine which includes a processor operable in a basic mode in the form of a reel type game and a bonus mode in the form of a board game, 1:32-38, 5:47-48. The first game generates its game results on video display (12), see Fig 4, 5:26-30 and upon meeting certain predetermined criteria, the video reels of the basic game are replaced with a token selection screen offering a selection of board game tokens, 11:3-6 on the same video display (12), see Fig 5. As shown, DeMar is cited to disclose generating the first game results and the bonus game results on the same video display (12) so as to require no additional hardware. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

Application/Control Number: 09/116,425

Art Unit: 3713

the art at the time the invention was made to provide one display as taught by DeMar

Page 4

into the Claypole type device in order to minimize the cost and the size of the gaming

device.

Regarding claim 2, DeMar discloses the bonus game result being a bonus award for

achieving a particular first game result, 10:51-56.

Regarding claims 3, 6, DeMar discloses payout rate for various winning combinations of

the basic game, 9:14-17, 10:41-49 and further discloses awarding the player for various

outcomes on the board game (MONOPOLY). As shown, DeMar discloses the bonus

game result identifying an additional award paid to a player.

Regarding claims 7, 12, Claypole discloses using card symbols, page 13, line 17.

Regarding claims 8, 13 Claypole as well as DeMar discloses using symbols on a video

reel, 5:27.

Regarding claims 9-11, 14-16, DeMar discloses the bonus game capable of being any

type of game, similar or completely different from the basic game, 1:32-39. Having the

bonus game result comprising indicia, such as letters, numbers, that fills a grid pattern

would have been a matter of design choice. Doing so would allow player to play a

different type of game, therefore presenting a new challenging game to players.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 24-28 are allowed over the prior art of record.

Claims 17-23 would be allowable when the Applicants make corrections to the objected

claims 17 and 19 set forth above.

Application/Control Number: 09/116,425 Page 5

Art Unit: 3713

#### **Prior Arts**

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

a. GB Patent No. 2,072,395 to Kennedy et al., which teach gaming or amusement

machine.

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 6-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. See above.

#### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yveste G. Cherubin whose telephone number is (703) 306-3027. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, A. Wellington can be reached on (703) 308-1327. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/116,425

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3713

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

ygc

JESSICA HARRISON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6